

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,956 04/05/2001			Sabine Oepen	51315	5586	
26474	7590	08/22/2002				
KEIL & W		_	EXAMINER			
1350 CONN WASHING		AVENUE, N.W. 20036	MULLIS, JEFFREY C			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1711		
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

			Application No.		Applicant(s)	<u>57</u>
			09/825,956		OEPEN ET AL.	
Offic	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
			Jeffrey C. Mullis		1711	
The Period for Re		LING DATE of this communication ap		r sheet with the co	orrespondence address	
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ING E of time r MONT for reply for repl ply within ceived b	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. HS from the mailing date of this communication. by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statut by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory mir will apply and will expire e, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communic 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)□ Res	spons	ive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)☐ Thi	s acti	on is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Sin Clos Disposition o	sed in	s application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under ms	ance except for for for Ex parte Quayle,	ormal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the men 53 O.G. 213.	ts is
4)⊠ Clair	m(s) .	<u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application				
4a) C	of the	above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.		
5)∏ Clair	m(s) _	is/are allowed.				
6)☐ Clair	n(s) _	is/are rejected.				
7)∐ Clair	n(s) _	is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ Clair Application P		<u>/-7</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requireme	nt.		
9)∏ The s	pecifi	cation is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) ☐ The d	lrawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exan	niner.	
Арр	olicant	may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The p	ropos	sed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	ed b) disapprov	ved by the Examiner.	
lf ap	prove	ed, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office ac	ion.		
12)☐ The o	ath o	r declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.			
Priority under	35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	owled	dgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)∏ All	b)[] Some * c)☐ None of:				
1.	Cert	tified copies of the priority document	ts have been rece	ived.		
2.	Cert	tified copies of the priority document	ts have been rece	ived in Applicatio	on No	
3. <u>□</u> * See th	·	ies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu iched detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	•	
		ment is made of a claim for domest				ation).
a) 🔲 🏾	The tra	anslation of the foreign language progrent is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application	on has been rece	ived.	
Attachment(s)		,	prizzing under O			
2) Notice of Dra	aftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	
5. Patent and Trademark TO-326 (Rev. 04-0		Office A	ction Summary		Part of Paper I	No. 3

Serial No. 09/825,956

Art Unit 1711

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Applicants should elect a single molding composition by selecting a single particulate emulsion polymer "A" by selecting either core-shell polymers or non-core-shell polymers; if applicants elect noncore-shell polymers, applicants should elect a single rubber from one of those set out at page 7 lines 11-15 and if applicants elect acrylate rubbers, applicants should choose a specific acrylate from page 7 lines 21-22; applicants should also elect cross-linked or non-cross-linked materials. If applicants elect cross-linked materials, then applicants should elect a single cross-linker from one of those at page 8 lines 4-8. applicants elect core-shell emulsion polymers, applicants should elect a single species of monomer "A2" from one of those at page 10 lines 4-30 in addition to a single species of base "A1". is assumed that the species of "A1" referred to "above" (see page 9 line 29 of the instant specification) are those set out at page 7 lines 10-22. In any case, election of a single species of core-shell polymer is required if applicants elect core-shell polymers. Applicants should also elect a single species of component B by electing another species of "A2" as set out above or by electing a single species of comonomers for production of component B from one of those at page 14 lines 23-30. Applicants should also elect compositions wherein component C is present or

Serial No. 09/825,956

Art Unit 1711

in which C is not present and should also elect compositions in which component D is present or wherein component D is not present. If applicants elect compositions in which component C is present, applicants should select a single species of component C from one of those at page 17 lines 5-31; if applicants elect compositions in which component D is present, applicants should elect a single species of component D from one of those at page 19 lines 1-31.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants

Serial No. 09/825,956

Art Unit 1711

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Due to the complexity of this election requirement, no telephone election was attempted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis at telephone number (703) 308-2820

Jeffrey Mullis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

J. Mullis:cdc August 21, 2002